



PRESS RELEASE

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE – Thursday, March 16, 2017, 11:00 a.m. Eastern
Contact: Alexandra Dunn, (202) 230-4247 or adunn@ecos.org
Environmental Council of the States (ECOS)

President's Proposed EPA Budget Cuts Will Adversely Affect State EABs

Washington, DC – The White House's dramatic cuts proposed this morning to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), if enacted, affect grants that support an average of 27 percent of state environmental agency budgets (EABs). While EPA's overall budget is reduced 31 percent, the proposed FY18 reduction of \$482M is a 44.5% cut to state Categorical Grants Cat Grants from the \$1.082B annualized FY17 level. The Superfund proposed FY18 reduction of \$330M is a 30% cut from the \$1.092B annualized FY17 level. The proposed FY18 reduction of \$233M is a 48% cut to the EPA Office of Research and Development from the \$483M annualized FY17 level.

Last night, ECOS released its [Green Report - Status of State Environmental Agency Budgets, FY2013-15](#), showing that average federal funding to state EABs already has experienced a decline. "Frankly, language in the President's budget blueprint that 'EPA would primarily support States and Tribes in their important role protecting air, land, and water in the 21st Century' is wholly inconsistent with the Categorical Grant cuts," says ECOS Executive Director & General Counsel Alexandra Dunn. "States need these federal funds to fully carry out their critical functions of advancing human health and protecting the environment. States operate 96 percent of federally delegated and authorized programs and manage funds to implement environmental regulations – they stand in the shoes of the federal government."

"We appreciate that the important state revolving loan funds are proposed for a one percent increase, and not a decrease," said ECOS President John Linc Stine, Commissioner of the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency. "However, the cuts to the core state programmatic grants are untenable. States welcome renewed confidence in our work and ability to protect human health and the environment. However, as ECOS' report shows, the federal government supports this function at an average of 27 percent. A cut of nearly 45 percent – while state legislatures are in session – is frankly unacceptable."

ECOS' March 15 report analyzed budget information from 46 state environmental agencies, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico, finding state EABs increased 7% over three years, with the average state EAB being \$203M over three years. The report looks at three primary funding sources - state EAB general fund support, federal government funding, and fees and other funding. The findings are over three years that: state EAB general fund support increased by \$335M (35%); federal government funding support to state EABs decreased by \$64M (3%); and fee and other fund support – the largest major funding source for state EABs – grew by \$403M (10%).

* * * *

ECOS is the national nonprofit, nonpartisan association of state and territorial environmental commissioners. For more information, visit www.ecos.org.