


Q1 Name (Optional)

Answered: 14 Skipped: 20

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Scott Mandirola	9/10/2024 8:03 AM
2	Hunter Roberts	9/9/2024 11:47 AM
3	Shawn Hamilton	9/9/2024 9:24 AM
4	Jeff Bale	9/9/2024 7:20 AM
5	Neil Chakrabarty	9/7/2024 11:21 AM
6	Scott Breen	9/7/2024 9:55 AM
7	David Carr	9/6/2024 4:49 PM
8	Ed Tormey	9/6/2024 2:45 PM
9	Paige Lieberman	9/6/2024 1:13 PM
10	Phil Roos	9/6/2024 12:35 PM
11	Justin Johnson	9/6/2024 10:10 AM
12	Katrina Kessler	9/6/2024 9:36 AM
13	Steven Tomaszewski	9/6/2024 8:56 AM
14	Grace Dodge	9/6/2024 8:55 AM

Q2 Attendee Type


Answered: 34 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
State Director	32.35%	11
State Staff	32.35%	11
Federal	8.82%	3
NGO	2.94%	1
Industry	23.53%	8
Press	0.00%	0
Other	0.00%	0
TOTAL		34

Q3 Were you a speaker?


Answered: 34 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	14.71%	5
No	85.29%	29
TOTAL		34

Q4 Was this the first time you attended an ECOS meeting?


Answered: 34 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	32.35%	11
No	67.65%	23
TOTAL		34

Q5 How would you rate the registration and staff support (5 being the top rating)?


Answered: 34 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
5	85.29%	29
4	11.76%	4
3	2.94%	1
2	0.00%	0
1	0.00%	0
TOTAL		34

Q6 How would you rate the meeting venue (5 being the top rating)?


Answered: 34 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
5	67.65%	23
4	23.53%	8
3	8.82%	3
2	0.00%	0
1	0.00%	0
TOTAL		34

Q7 How would you rate the networking opportunities (schooner excursion, Tuesday happy hour, cliff walk and clambake, field demo, etc.) (5 being the top rating)?


Answered: 33 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
5	78.79%	26
4	21.21%	7
3	0.00%	0
2	0.00%	0
1	0.00%	0
TOTAL		33

Q8 How would you rate the speakers (5 being the top rating)?


Answered: 34 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
5	47.06%	16
4	44.12%	15
3	8.82%	3
2	0.00%	0
1	0.00%	0
TOTAL		34

Q9 How would you rate the session topics (5 being the top rating)?


Answered: 32 Skipped: 2



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
5	46.88%	15
4	40.63%	13
3	12.50%	4
2	0.00%	0
1	0.00%	0
TOTAL		32

Q10 Overall, how would you rate the meeting (5 being the top rating)?

Answered: 33 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
5	63.64%	21
4	36.36%	12
3	0.00%	0
2	0.00%	0
1	0.00%	0
TOTAL		33

Q11 What did you like most about the 2024 Fall Meeting?

Answered: 32 Skipped: 2

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	networking opportunities	9/10/2024 4:02 PM
2	Clam bake!	9/10/2024 3:47 PM
3	The entire group was so wonderful.	9/10/2024 2:32 PM
4	Location!	9/10/2024 11:38 AM
5	Networking, social activities, and the food!	9/10/2024 10:21 AM
6	The meeting location and opportunity to interact with other state Directors on shared topics.	9/10/2024 8:03 AM
7	Social networking events were top line	9/9/2024 1:59 PM
8	Good networking opportunities set in a unique place.	9/9/2024 11:47 AM
9	The opportunity to network with other states, entities - fantastic registration this year.	9/9/2024 10:57 AM
10	PFAS Breakout Sessions. Networking events were great.	9/9/2024 9:24 AM
11	Nice topics at the sessions (relevant for everyone especially PFAS). Great extracurricular activities. The clam bake event was spectacular both food and setting.	9/9/2024 7:20 AM
12	The networking was wonderful -- so many great people and opportunities to learn and grow.	9/8/2024 7:09 PM
13	Networking	9/7/2024 11:21 AM
14	I loved how it was so intimate and had a friendly vibe while also one of let's really try to wrangle these big environmental problems and learn from each other and the speakers how best to address them. Definitely hope to attend again in the future :)	9/7/2024 9:55 AM
15	The opportunity to exchange ideas and connect with others.	9/6/2024 4:56 PM
16	The location at this time of the year was excellent! The turnout was impressive. I'm sure it was partly due to the location. I was able to make connections with my contacts and make new ones as well.	9/6/2024 4:49 PM
17	The meeting covered a variety of timely and critical topics	9/6/2024 4:49 PM
18	I enjoyed meeting everyone	9/6/2024 4:05 PM
19	The location and networking opportunities.	9/6/2024 2:45 PM
20	Honestly everything was top notch! If I had to choose just one thing, the evening networking opportunities around the sites of RI!	9/6/2024 2:43 PM
21	The location is beautiful and distinctive.	9/6/2024 1:47 PM
22	Immersion in local environment and related challenges	9/6/2024 1:13 PM
23	Newport was great, good topics	9/6/2024 12:35 PM
24	Clam Bake and friendly community of people with a shared mission	9/6/2024 10:35 AM
25	The opportunity to connect with people on the side of the meeting	9/6/2024 10:10 AM
26	Amazing location and activities	9/6/2024 9:54 AM
27	The destination and hearing about work re climate, waste, and PFAS	9/6/2024 9:36 AM
28	Q&A - open discussion. Learning how other states are managing the same obstacles	9/6/2024 9:26 AM
29	Location was excellent within a walkable historic district with beach walk close by.	9/6/2024 9:14 AM

30	Sufficient breaks for networking. Good general topics. Great attendance. The sessions where states highlighted where they are finding innovative solutions to difficult problems was very useful.	9/6/2024 9:14 AM
31	Networking is by far the most effective part of the meetings for me. It saves countless hours, money and reduces the carbon footprint of traveling the US to connect with these leaders when they are all in one venue	9/6/2024 8:56 AM
32	Outdoor adventures that connect the topics we discuss with the real world we live in	9/6/2024 8:55 AM

Q12 How do you think the 2024 Fall Meeting could have been improved?

Answered: 24 Skipped: 10

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	meeting space was very tight. also, some sessions/speakers didn't have enough time. lunch could have been better.	9/10/2024 4:02 PM
2	Ensuring there is enough hotel rooms for all.	9/10/2024 2:32 PM
3	There seemed to be less discussion time during/following panels and presentations. I always enjoy hearing from various states on different topics and the discussion times are always the most interesting for me, so I missed that.	9/10/2024 11:38 AM
4	Possibly have it be more involving to the audience or maybe more breaks so everyone can move around a bit.	9/10/2024 10:46 AM
5	The outreach booths area was a little tight and folks tended to crowd the area making it hard to hear.	9/10/2024 10:21 AM
6	Hotel meals did not identify potential allergens or alternative food choices. Staff were not particularly welcoming. Would have been helpful to have a list of participants	9/9/2024 1:59 PM
7	Too much time is spent on EJ and PFAS...these sessions provide 95%+ of redundant material from previous meetings.	9/9/2024 11:47 AM
8	Although the hotel is beautiful, it's limited size created cramped space in some of the breakouts.	9/9/2024 10:57 AM
9	Would have like to seen more EPA RAs in attendance.	9/9/2024 9:24 AM
10	Tough to find any improvement areas. GREAT JOB!	9/9/2024 7:20 AM
11	I would have liked a bit more on some of the new federal air quality rules by EPA and where states are at with rulemaking efforts. Also how states are handling the need for more resources to accommodate growing federal mandates.	9/8/2024 7:09 PM
12	Maybe some more sessions on the how since at times it seems the speakers were talking too high level.	9/7/2024 9:55 AM
13	Room configuration made it difficult to see and hear participants.	9/6/2024 4:56 PM
14	I am particularly interested in PFAS Disposal and Destruction Legislation and Regulation. I got severely lost when one of the panelist (Clean Harbors I believe) dove into the details of molecular separation and process of destruction. I'm sure it is important for me to know the details but I got little out of it. Perhaps she could have better explained it with an analogy like choosing a flight itinerary or solving a problem with selecting the best college for my child. I don't know. Otherwise, the meeting was an absolute success and thank you for allowing me to try lobster which was my first time. Yum!	9/6/2024 4:49 PM
15	N/A	9/6/2024 4:49 PM
16	The seating situation was uncomfortable for all day learning and note taking. I was wishing for a table to write on.	9/6/2024 4:05 PM
17	Some of the sessions on Day 2 were a little narrow in focus (e.g., off- shore wind). Also, Janet McCabe is a great person, but probably time to find another speaker (I would suggest a non-EPA speaker).	9/6/2024 2:45 PM
18	Can't think of anything - great job!	9/6/2024 1:13 PM
19	For me, I'd value breaking up the panel discussion format a bit - can get a little tedious after two days ... a speech or two, or more interactive session, might be nice	9/6/2024 12:35 PM
20	More time for open discussion on ideas and methods for overcoming obstacles managing state	9/6/2024 9:26 AM

environmental programs.

21	A more diverse agenda is preferred. For example, rather than offering two sessions back to back sessions focused on solid waste, attendees would have appreciated breakouts on other media areas— many states are not prioritizing solid waste. Breakout suggestions are a deeper dive into solid waste permitting challenges states face related to advanced recycling and battery recycling and air topics like state perspectives on ozone, regional haze plans, approaches on the reduction plans, etc.	9/6/2024 9:14 AM
22	The PFAS topics as a track so folks could attend each session rather than simultaneous and needing to choose. The microplastics session was so so.	9/6/2024 9:14 AM
23	None	9/6/2024 8:56 AM
24	Longer morning yoga!	9/6/2024 8:55 AM